June 15, 2022

The Honorable Catherine Lhamon
Assistant Secretary, Office for Civil Rights
U.S. Department of Education
400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., 4th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20202

Title VI and Title IX Complaint: Morgan Stanley Freshman Enhancement Program

Dear Assistant Secretary Lhamon:

America First Legal Foundation (AFL) is a national, nonprofit organization working to protect the rule of law and ensure due process and equal protection for all Americans. We write to request that the Office of Civil Rights open an investigation of Princeton University, Harvard University, the University of Michigan, and anywhere else appropriate, for violating Title VI and Title IX through their advertising, promotion, and apparent sponsorship of the Morgan Stanley “Freshman Enhancement Program.”

I. Background.

Since at least 2016, Morgan Stanley has offered a discriminatory “Diversity Internship” called the “Freshman Enhancement Program.”1 The program is expressly limited to students of only certain racial backgrounds or students who identify as “LGBTQ+,” and is described as follows:

Program Overview

Our Freshman Enhancement Program is designed to help diverse rising sophomores in college gain a better understanding of the various businesses and career paths Morgan Stanley provides. Selected candidates will participate in a hybrid program consisting of virtual learning and an in-person component. Candidates will be expected to join weekly sessions for 1-3 hours over the course of 4 weeks. The program will include valuable training, as well as opportunities to network with each other.

---

and learn from Morgan Stanley professionals across our divisions. Participants will have the opportunity to interview for the 2023 Sophomore Summer Analyst Programs for the specific track they are in.

***

Skills and Qualifications

- You are a Black, Hispanic, Native American, and/or LGBTQ+ freshman undergraduate student (class of 2025).²

It appears that the chosen students are paid for their participation in this program.³

II. The Violations are Longstanding and Continuing in Nature.

As the Project on Fair Representation stated in a letter earlier this year, the Freshman Enhancement Program is “blatantly illegal and immoral.”⁴ Federally funded institutions that promote or facilitate it necessarily subject White, Asian, foreign born, and heterosexual students to discrimination, segregation, and denial of services or benefits based on race, color, national origin, or sex, and, accordingly, violate both Title VI and Title IX.⁵ Furthermore, the evidence is that these violations are longstanding and likely to reoccur.

For example, the University of Michigan’s “University Career Center” advertised Morgan Stanley “internship opportunities [solely] for Black, Hispanic, Native American, and LGBT students,” with an application date of January 22, 2017.⁶ Harvard University advertised the Freshman Enhancement Program, listing admission requirements including “You are a Black, Hispanic, Native American, and/or LGBTQ+ freshman undergraduate student (class of 2024)” with an application date of March 12, 2021.⁷ More recently, the University of Michigan posted that it was hosting an “informational session” on the Morgan Stanley Freshman Enhancement Program in January, 2022.⁸ And, on or about February 1, 2022, Princeton freshmen reportedly

---

⁵ 34 CFR §§ 100.3; 106.51(a)(1); Bostock v. Clayton Cnty., Georgia, 140 S. Ct. 1731 (2020).
received an email through the university inviting Freshman Enhancement Program applicants.⁹

III. The Office of Civil Rights Should Investigate the Named Institutions.

The Freshman Enhancement Program, and others of its ilk, are patently illegal.¹⁰ They are also deeply harmful. Discrimination based on immutable characteristics such as race, color, national origin, or sex “generates a feeling of inferiority as to their status in the community that may affect their hearts and minds in a way unlikely to ever be undone.”¹¹ More broadly, the discrimination highlighted in this case necessarily foments contention and resentment. Indeed, it is “odious and destructive.”¹² To make this concrete, imagine two sisters, both adopted by the same parents. One is African American, and one is Asian. Despite being raised by the same family, and attending the same schools, only one is permitted to apply for special consideration and treatment, while the other is denied equal opportunity due to something completely beyond her control—the race she was born into without choice. It truly “is a sordid business, this divvying us up” by race or sex.¹³

To protect the rule of law, and to uphold the principle that each person is entitled to be free from discrimination based on race, color, national origin, and sex, the Office of Civil Rights should investigate the named institutions and take appropriate enforcement action.

Sincerely,

s/ Gene P. Hamilton
Vice-President and General Counsel
America First Legal Foundation

Cc: Lisa Brown, Esq., General Counsel, U.S. Department of Education
    Senator Patty Murray, Chair, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor & Pensions
    Senator Richard Burr, Ranking Member, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor & Pensions
    Congressman Robert C. “Bobby” Scott, Chairman, House Education and Labor Committee
    Congresswoman Virginia Foxx, Ranking Member, House Education and Labor Committee