UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

Blessed Cajuns LLC, et al.

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 4:21-cv-00677-O

v.

Isabella Casillas Guzman, et al.,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

The plaintiffs respectfully ask for leave to file a second amended complaint. The second amended complaint will seek damages under *Bivens* on behalf of restaurateurs who applied for relief shortly after the Restaurant Revitalization Fund opened for applications on May 3, 2021, but who never obtained funds on account of the unconstitutional race and sex preferences established in section 5003 of the American Rescue Plan Act. It will also seek declaratory relief and a permanent injunction to prevent the defendants from clawing back money awarded to the plaintiffs in violation of the statutory command of section 5003.

Rule 15(b) allows a party to seek leave to amend "at any time, even after judgment . . . to conform [the pleadings] to the evidence and to raise an unpleaded issue." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(b). And a court "should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). Leave to amend is appropriate and consistent with justice because the circumstances of this case have changed now that the Restaurant Revitalization Fund is fully depleted, and the plaintiffs must alter their requested relief to reflect this fact. Leave to amend is appropriate and consistent with justice for the additional reason that the plaintiffs that will be added the case have no other remedy to pursue now that the funds have been spent.

We have attached a clean copy of our proposed second amended complaint, as well as a redlined version that shows all the proposed changes.

CONCLUSION

The plaintiffs' motion for leave to file a second amended complaint should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

GENE P. HAMILTON Virginia Bar No. 80434 Vice-President and General Counsel America First Legal Foundation 300 Independence Avenue SE Washington, DC 20003 (202) 964-3721 gene.hamilton@aflegal.org

H. DUSTIN FILLMORE Texas Bar No. 06996010 CHARLES W. FILLMORE Texas Bar No. 00785861 The Fillmore Law Firm, L.L.P. 201 Main Street, Suite 801 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 332-2351 (phone) (817) 870-1859 (fax) dusty@fillmorefirm.com chad@fillmorefirm.com

BRADLEY A. BENBROOK* California Bar No. 177786 STEPHEN M. DUVERNAY* California Bar No. 250957 Benbrook Law Group, PC 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2530 Sacramento, California 95814 (916) 447-4900 (phone) <u>/s/ Jonathan F. Mitchell</u> JONATHAN F. MITCHELL Texas Bar No. 24075463 Mitchell Law PLLC 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 400 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 686-3940 (phone) (512) 686-3941 (fax) jonathan@mitchell.law

ROBERT HENNEKE Texas Bar No. 24046058 Texas Public Policy Foundation 901 Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78735 (512) 472-2700 (phone) rhenneke@texaspolicy.com (916) 447-4904 (fax) brad@benbrooklawgroup.com steve@benbrooklawgroup.com

* admitted pro hac vice

Dated: July 30, 2021

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class Case 4:21-cv-00677-O Document 33 Filed 07/30/21 Page 4 of 4 PageID 815

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

I certify that I have conferred with Christopher D. Dodge, counsel for the defendants, and he informed me that the defendants oppose this motion.

> /s/ Jonathan F. Mitchell JONATHAN F. MITCHELL Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on July 30, 2021, I served this document through CM/ECF upon:

CHRISTOPHER D. DODGE United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005 (202) 598-5571 christopher.d.dodge@usdoj.gov

Counsel for Defendants

/s/ Jonathan F. Mitchell JONATHAN F. MITCHELL Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class